

# Development of a Comprehensive Biomarker Strategy to Support the Latent TGFβ1 Inhibitor SRK-181 Phase 1 Clinical Trial, DRAGON

Christopher Brueckner<sup>1</sup>, Ryan Faucette<sup>1</sup>, Charles Caldwell Jr<sup>2</sup>, Sofia Reitsma<sup>2</sup>, Stefan Wawersik<sup>3</sup>, Ashish Kalra<sup>1</sup>, Lu Gan<sup>1</sup>, Si Tuen Lee-Hoeflich<sup>1,4</sup> <sup>1</sup> ScholarRock Inc. 301 Binney Street, Cambridge, MA; <sup>2</sup> Flagship Biosciences, 7575 W 103rd Ave., Westminster, CO; <sup>3</sup>Q32 Bio Inc., 1 Board Way, Cambridge MA; <sup>4</sup>Corresponding author MedicalInguiry@ScholarRock.com: https://scholarrock.com/our-pipeline

# Introduction

- Nearly 80% of patients do not respond to Checkpoint Inhibitor (CPI) therapies<sup>1</sup>
- Human data implicate TGFβ1 as a key driver of immune exclusion and primary resistance to CPIs<sup>2,3</sup>
- SRK-181 is a fully human monoclonal antibody that potently and selectively inhibits latent TGFβ1 activation, in pre-clinical studies<sup>2</sup>
- SRK-181 combination treatment with anti-PD-1 overcomes CPI resistance leading to anti-tumor effects in preclinical models including MBT-2 (Fig 1)
- The DRAGON trial (NCT04291079) is a multi-center, open-label, Phase 1, first-in-human (FIH), doseescalation, and dose expansion study to evaluate the safety, tolerability, PK, PD and efficacy of SRK- $181^{4}$
- A comprehensive biomarker strategy will support the ongoing DRAGON clinical trial and further explore the mechanism of action of SRK-181

# Figure 1.

SRK-181 Sensitizes Tumors to Anti-PD-1 in Preclinical Models<sup>2</sup>





• Anti-PD-1 alone has no effect while SRK-181mIgG1\* and anti-PD-1 combination results in either complete responses, tumor regressions, or control



# Assay Development to Enable Implementation of SRK-181 Biomarker Strategy

Development and further improvement of the biomarker assays would be the key to potentially unlock important clinical data including:

- ➢ Refinement of image analysis for CD8 IHC assay (Figs. 2-4)
- Development of P-Smad2 IHC assay (Figs. 5-6)
- >Improvement of platelet poor plasma processing method for assessment of circulatory TGF $\beta$ -1 (Fig.7)

# Figure 2.

Refinement of Image Analysis for CD8 IHC Assay: CD8 is a Proposed PD Biomarker For DRAGON<sup>2</sup>

- CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells plays a central role in cancer immunity<sup>2,3</sup>
- In preclinical tumor models, SRK-181 and  $\alpha$ -PD1 combination leads to the influx of CD8+ T cells that correlates to treatment response (Fig 2)
- Intratumor CD8+ T cells evaluated in the DRAGON study
- IHC utilized to assess:
- Modulation of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells between pre- and post-treatment biopsy samples
- Digital pathology analysis performed to quantify CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells across tumor, tumor Margin and stromal compartments
- Distribution of CD8<sup>+</sup>T cells across the compartments may define the tumor immune landscape (Fig. 3A)

Immune contexture analysis at day 10 post-treatment in MBT-2 model.<sup>2</sup>



Anti-PD-1/SRK-181-mlgG1 induces a marked increase in frequency of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells within the tumor mass (right). Bar, 100 µm.

## Figure 3.

- IHC pilot study is performed utilizing commercially available human cancer samples<sup>5</sup>
- formulate a comprehensive image analysis plan



%CD8<sup>+</sup> cells in tumor, tumor margin and stromal compartments quantified across melanoma (3B) and bladder cancer samples (3C).



Figure 4.

Α

Refinement of Image Analysis for CD8 IHC Assay: Individual Tumor Nest Analysis Leads to Identification of Tumors with Mixed Immune Phenotypes



Scatter plots show the analysis of each individual tumor nest including nest size, % CD8<sup>+</sup> cells and immune phenotype. The highly inflamed tumor nests skewed overall %CD8<sup>+</sup> cells of the samples. Bar graphs show combined tumor nest analysis and the distribution of immune phenotypes for each sample rather than classifying the sample into a single immune phenotype.



# Summarv

- To implement the biomarker strategy, select biomarker assays were developed and improved including: • Refinement of CD8 IHC to enable capture of sample heterogeneity and better assessment of the PD
- effects of SRK-181 and CPI combination • Development of P-Smad2 IHC assay to detect modulation of TGF $\beta$  signaling by SRK-181
- Improvment of blood sample collection and PPP processing method to enable assessment of the PD effect of SRK-181 on circulatory TGF $\beta$ 1

Establishment of these biomarker assays is part of a broader biomarker strategy that is poised for analysis of samples from the DRAGON clinical trial by the second half of 2021

Combination of CD8 and tumor gene signature analysis will be explored as potential predictive biomarkers for SRK-181 in the future

\* SRK181-mlgG1, murine SRK181 monoclonal lgG1 antibody; BM, biomarker; CD8, cluster of differentiation 8; CTAD, citrate-theophylline, adenosine, dipyridamole; lgG, immunophistochemistry; MBT-2, mouse bladder tumor line-2; MEL, melanoma; mg/kg, milligram/killigram; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; pg/ml, picogram/milliliter; SMAD9, mother against decapentaplegic homolog 9; T\$R, TGF\$ receptor; TSC, trisodium citrate; UC, urothelial carcinoma; PK, pharmacokinetics; PD, pharmacodynamics; S.C., sub-cutaneous; TGF\$1, transforming growth factor beta-1; SRK, ScholarRock; PD-1, programmed cell death protein-1 Copyright © 2021 Scholar Rock

# Refinement of Image Analysis for CD8 IHC Assay: Digital Pathology Analysis Can Guide Tumor Immunophenotyping and Classification into Inflamed, Excluded and Desert Tumors

• The study serves to validate the performance of the CD8 IHC assay, establish the CD8<sup>+</sup> cell baseline signals for selected DRAGON indications, including bladder cancer and melanoma, and

Representative images of cancer immune phenotypes (3A), inflamed (left), immune excluded (middle), immune desert (right). Dotted line represents margin between tumor and stroma compartments in the tumor (T) and stroma (S) compartments. %CD8<sup>+</sup> cells across compartments are utilized to classify immune phenotypes.<sup>6</sup>  $\geq$ 5% CD8<sup>+</sup> cells in tumor compartment are classified as inflamed, <5% CD8<sup>+</sup> cells in tumor and  $\geq$  5% CD8<sup>+</sup> cells at the margin are classified as immune excluded, and <5% CD8<sup>+</sup> cells in all compartments are classified as immune desert.



Tumor samples often consist of multiple tumor nests that are variable in number and size (4A, masked area). A tumor sample may have a single large immune excluded tumor nest (4B, blue) or multiple small tumor nests (4C, blue). In both examples, several small but highly inflamed tumor nests are present (4B and 4C, red).





### Figure 7.

Improvement of Platelet Poor Plasma Processing Method for Assessment of Circulatory TGFβ1: Circulatory TGFβ1 as a Potential Target Engagement BM for SRK-181

- would serve as a target-engagement biomarker for SRK-181 (Fig. 7)<sup>5</sup>
- processing leading to alteration of base level of TGF $\beta$ 1 independent of SRK-181 treatment<sup>9</sup>
- and processing method for obtaining platelet poor plasma (PPP) (Fig. 8)





Blood samples collected from 6 healthy donors to generate platelet poor plasma (PPP) at 4°C or room temperature (RT), in either CTAD or sodium citrate (TSC) collection tubes, by different processing times and methods (8A).<sup>5</sup> Circulatory TGF $\beta$ 1 evaluated using a Quantikine assay (R&D System). The concentration range of TGFβ1 is between 500 to >1500 pg/ml (8B) and platelet factor 4 (PF4) level minimal to 250 ng/ml (8C). Significantly higher levels of TGFβ1 and PF4 detected in samples processed at RT (orange) compared to 4°C (blue). Correlation of PF4 and TGFB1 observed in these RT samples (8D) support PF4 may be used to identify samples with platelet activation leading to increased levels of TGF $\beta$ 1. The lowest level of PF4 observed in samples collected in CTAD tubes and processed by method 2 (P2) thus implicated to be the optimal condition for processing PPP.

181 has not been approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration or any other health authority for any indication. The safety and efficacy of SRK-181 have not been established.



Scholar Rock